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Overview. Today we present two LSH families: for Cosine similarity and Euclidean distance.

Review. We recap the definition of approximation near neighbor search (ANNS) and locality sensitive hashing (LSH).

Definition 1. Let $S \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ be a dataset in a metric space $\left(\mathcal{X}, d_{\mathcal{X}}\right)$. The ( $c, r$ )-ANN problem for $c>1$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is to efficiently pre-process $S$ to quickly answer the following query. Given $q \in \mathcal{X}$, either return $x \in S$ with $d_{\mathcal{X}}(q, x) \leq c r$, or report that no such point exists.

The algorithm knows the approximation $c$ and the threshold $r$ ahead of time. Therefore, we focus on data structures parameterized by $c, r$. A useful primitive is an LSH family.

Definition 2. Let $r$ and $c \geq 1$ and $p_{1}, p_{2} \in(0,1]$ with $p_{1}>p_{2}$ be parameters. A hash family $\mathcal{H}$ in a metric space $\left(\mathcal{X}, d_{\mathcal{X}}\right)$ is $\left(r, c r, p_{1}, p_{2}\right)$-sensitive if the following two conditions are satisfied:

1. $\operatorname{Pr}[h(x)=h(y)] \geq p_{1}$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ with $d_{\mathcal{X}}(x, y) \leq r$,
2. $\operatorname{Pr}[h(x)=h(y)] \leq p_{2}$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ with $d_{\mathcal{X}}(x, y) \geq c r$,
where the probability is over sampling a uniformly random $h \in \mathcal{H}$.

## 1 LSH for Unit Vectors and Cosine Similarity

Cosine similarity refers to a special case of Euclidean distance for unit vectors. We consider datasets $X \subseteq \mathcal{S}^{d-1}$, where we use $\mathcal{S}^{d-1}$ to denote the $d$-dimensional real vectors with unit norm, that is,

$$
\mathcal{S}^{d-1}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \mid\|x\|_{2}=1\right\}
$$

The distance metric will be the usual $\ell_{2}$ distance, but we can also consider the angle between the data points based on the identity

$$
\|x-y\|_{2}^{2}=\|x\|_{2}^{2}+\|y\|_{2}^{2}-2\langle x, y\rangle .
$$

Notice that when $x, y \in \mathcal{S}^{d-1}$, we have that $\|x\|_{2}^{2}=\|y\|_{2}^{2}=1$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle x, y\rangle=1-\frac{\|x-y\|_{2}^{2}}{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this way, we can view the inner product $\langle x, y\rangle$ as a notion of similarity between two vectors, which always has value between -1 and 1 for $x, y \in \mathcal{S}^{d-1}$. This is sometimes called the cosine similarity.

We now present an LSH for this, which is known as SimHash, from a paper of Charikar [1].

### 1.1 Random hyperplanes

Consider choosing a random vector $b \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, which has each coordinate sampled according to the standard normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$. We could normalize by $\|b\|_{2}$ to get a uniformly random point on the sphere $\mathcal{S}^{d-1}$ if we wanted to.
We will analyze the hash function $h_{b}: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow\{-1,1\}$ that is defined by

$$
h_{b}(x)=\operatorname{sign}(\langle x, b\rangle) .
$$

The sign function outputs +1 if its input is $\geq 0$, and otherwise it outputs -1 . By choosing the normal vector $b$ uniformly at random, we can choose a random hash function that assigns each vector $x$ a value in $\{-1,1\}$.
This will be the basis of our LSH family for cosine similarity. We will also have a parameter $k$, which is based on concatenating $k$ copies of independent hash functions. More precisely, choose $k$ random vectors $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k}$, each with i.i.d. entries from $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$. Then, define

$$
h^{k}(x)=\left[h_{b_{1}}(x), h_{b_{2}}(x), \ldots, h_{b_{k}}(x)\right]=\left[\operatorname{sign}\left(\left\langle x, b_{1}\right\rangle\right), \operatorname{sign}\left(\left\langle x, b_{2}\right\rangle\right), \ldots, \operatorname{sign}\left(\left\langle x, b_{k}\right\rangle\right)\right] .
$$

Note that $h^{k}(x)$ maps vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ to $k$-dimensional sign vectors in $\{-1,1\}^{k}$. This is analogous to how the LSH family for Hamming distance mapped vectors to $k$ bits.

### 1.2 Understanding these hash functions

As we are using $k$ independent copies to build $h_{k}$, it suffices to analyze a single hash function $h_{b}$. It will be convenient to talk about angles, and for this, we introduce the notation

$$
\angle(x y)=\arccos \left(\frac{\langle x, y\rangle}{\|x\|_{2}\|y\|_{2}}\right) .
$$

When we are talking about $x, y \in \mathcal{S}^{d-1}$, we can drop the norms, so $\angle(x y)=\arccos (\langle x, y\rangle)$. We have that $0 \leq \angle(x y) \leq \pi$. Also, recall that the angle between $x$ and $y$ is equal to $\pi / 2$ if they are orthogonal, and it is zero if they are identical, and it is $\pi$ is they are opposite.
Lemma 3. For any $x, y \in \mathcal{S}^{d-1}$, we have that

$$
\operatorname{Pr}_{b}\left[h_{b}(x) \neq h_{b}(y)\right]=\frac{\angle(x y)}{\pi} .
$$

and

$$
\underset{b}{\operatorname{Pr}}\left[h_{b}(x)=h_{b}(y)\right]=1-\frac{\angle(x y)}{\pi} .
$$

Proof. Proof by picture (e.g., draw a 2 dimensional circle).
We can rewrite the collision probability in a more "friendly" form. We combine Eq. (1) and the identity for $0 \leq z \leq 1$

$$
2 \arcsin (z)=\arccos \left(1-z^{2}\right) .
$$

This leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{b}{\operatorname{Pr}}\left[h_{b}(x)=h_{b}(y)\right]=1-\frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \frac{\|x-y\|_{2}}{\sqrt{2}} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before, we define $p_{1}$ to be this probability when $\|x-y\|_{2}=r$, and we let $p_{2}$ be the probability when $\|x-y\|_{2}=c r$.

Then, recall that the LSH parameter of interest is $\rho=\log \left(p_{1}\right) / \log \left(p_{2}\right)$. Using Eq. (2), we have that this is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\frac{\log \left(p_{1}\right)}{\log \left(p_{2}\right)}=\frac{\log \left(1-\frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \frac{r}{\sqrt{2}}\right)}{\log \left(1-\frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \frac{c r}{\sqrt{2}}\right)} \leq \frac{1}{c} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the last inequality, it can be shown that $\rho \leq 1 / c$.

### 1.3 Wrapping up the ANNS for Cosine similarity

To finish the ANNS algorithm, we have shown that we can use $k$ to control the hash bucket size (recall that we defined the actual hash function to be $h^{k}(x)$, which concatenates $k$ independent copies of the random hyperplane sign hash).

Then, the probability of close collisions is $p_{1}^{k}$ and far collisions is $p_{2}^{k}$. Therefore, using the above Lemma, we can set $k$ such that $p_{2}^{k} \leq 1 / n$. By Eq. (3), we have that $p_{1}^{k} \geq 1 / n^{\rho} \geq 1 / n^{1 / c}$.

As before, we can set $L=1 / p_{1}^{k}=n^{\rho} \leq n^{1 / c}$ to be the number of hash tables that we use. The rest of the algorithm is the same. We use space $L n=n^{1+\rho}$ because we have $L$ hash tables. And the query time also scales with $L=n^{\rho}$. Assuming we can store each $d$ dimensional vector with $O(d)$ bits, then the total space is $O\left(d n^{1+1 / c}\right)$, and query time is $O\left(d n^{1 / c}\right)$.

At this point, the details, algorithm, and analysis are essentially identical to the Hamming distance analyze from last lecture, and we leave them to the reader.

## 2 LSH for Euclidean distance

We now present another LSH family that doesn't make any assumptions about being unit vectors. On query $q \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, we want to find a vector with $\|x-q\|_{2} \leq c r$ if there is a vector with $\|x-q\|_{2} \leq r$ in the dataset. Notice that by rescaling the dataset and the query, we can WLOG assume $r=1$. That is, we consider finding $x$ with $\|x-q\|_{2} \leq c$ if there is a vector with $\|x-q\|_{2} \leq 1$ in the dataset.

The hash function will again use a random vector $b$ that is normally distributed. But instead of taking the sign of the inner product, we will use a random offset $t$ and we will break the line into segments of width $w$.

Intuitively, we will project an input vector $x$ onto a random line (with angle based on the random normal vector $b$ ), and we will look at where it falls on this line. We will have infinite potential buckets based partitioning the random line into segments of width $w$. We will randomly shift these segments by an offset (because it would be bad to always start/end at 0 , among other reasons).

More precisely: We fix $w$, which will be a (somewhat magical) parameter to set at the very end (it will not have a closed form, and it will depend on $c$ ). Then, we choose $b \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)^{d}$ and $t \sim$ Uniform $[0, w]$. Then, the hash function $h_{b, t}$ is defined as

$$
h_{b, t}(x)=\left\lfloor\frac{\langle x, b\rangle+t}{w}\right\rfloor .
$$

The hash family will be all possible functions of this form, where we choose $b$ and $t$ uniformly at random. The intuition for why this is a good LSH is that if $x$ and $y$ are close, then they will be more likely to project only the same segment of width $w$.

We first understand their projection onto $b$ by showing that their distance on this one-dimensional line can be written as a normal random variable. The punchline is that $\langle x-y, b\rangle$ is distributed as the normal distribution $\mathcal{N}\left(0,\|x-y\|_{2}^{2}\right)$ with variance $\|x-y\|_{2}^{2}$ scaling with their distance.
Lemma 4. If $b \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)^{d}$, then $\langle x, b\rangle$ is distributed according to $\|x\|_{2} \cdot \mathcal{N}(0,1)=\mathcal{N}\left(0,\|x\|_{2}^{2}\right)$.

Proof. Recall the 2-stable property of normal random variables: adding independent normal random variables also leads to a normal random variable; the means add; the variances also add. Each coordinate in $b$ is distributed as $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$. Hence, each coordinate $x_{i} \cdot b_{i}$ is distributed as $\mathcal{N}\left(0, x_{i}^{2}\right)$. And we know that $\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}=\|x\|_{2}^{2}$, which completes the proof.

By this lemma, we have that $\langle x-y, b\rangle$ is distributed as $\mathcal{N}\left(0,\|x-y\|_{2}^{2}\right)$. Therefore, the variance is smaller is $x$ and $y$ are closer, and so there distance when projected onto $b$ is more likely to be small. This is good, because we now show that they are more likely to end up in the same segment when projected onto $b$ :

Lemma 5. Fix b, but choose $t \sim$ Uniform $[0, w]$ at random. Then,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}_{t}\left[h_{b, t}(x)=h_{b, t}(y)\right]=\max \left(1-\frac{|\langle x-y, b\rangle|}{w}, 0\right) .
$$

In other words, the probability the the offset $t$ separates $x$ and $y$ is proportional to their distance when projected onto $b$, and this one-dimensional distance is equal to $|\langle x, b\rangle-\langle y, b\rangle|=|\langle x-y, b\rangle|$. The max/zero is because if this distance is larger than $w$, then $x$ and $y$ will be hashed to different places regardless of $t$.

Now, we will sample both $b$ and $t$ at random. As before we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{1}=\operatorname{Pr}_{b, t}^{\operatorname{Pr}}\left[h_{b, t}(x)=h_{b, t}(y)\right] \quad \text { when }\|x-y\|_{2}=1 . \\
& p_{2}=\operatorname{Pr}_{b, t}\left[h_{b, t}(x)=h_{b, t}(y)\right] \quad \text { when }\|x-y\|_{2}=c .
\end{aligned}
$$

It can be shown that these probabilities are equal to an integral based on the normal distribution. Using this fact, and some numerical analysis (using a computer), it's possible to estimate $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ as a function of $w$. It has been shown that it is possible to solve for $w$ such that we achieve

$$
\rho=\frac{\log p_{1}}{\log p_{2}} \leq \frac{1}{c}
$$

Therefore, we get an ANNS data structure for Euclidean distance with $n^{\rho} \leq n^{1 / c}$ hash tables (that is, the query time scales with this). Assuming we can store each $d$ dimensional vector with $O(d)$ bits, then the total space is $O\left(d n^{1+1 / c}\right)$, and query time is $O\left(d n^{1 / c}\right)$.

Improvements. The best known LSH for Euclidean distance actually achieves $\rho=1 / c^{2}$. The result is due to Andoni and Indyk from 2006. And, it has been shown that this is basically optimal for LSH-based ANNS. Their hash family is more complicated in two ways. First, instead of projecting onto a one-dimensional line, it projects onto a higher dimensional subspace (with a carefully chosen dimension). Second, this subspace is partitioned into balls (based on a lattice that determines their centers). However, it isn't possible to partition the whole space into balls, so the hash function takes the first ball that $x$ lands in (when the balls are ordered randomly). This is known as ball carving and it's an important and influential idea. The analysis is quite tricky, based on understanding when $x, y$ falls into a ball after a projection. But it achieves much better results in terms of the $\rho$ exponent.
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